

21/10/2004

Dear Tom

I have just spoken to John McAteer solicitor from Victims Compensation, re the letter that he wrote to Kevin Rodgers 23 May 2003. He confirmed to me that he was totally aware, and so were his whole department aware as to the nature of the present proceedings. He said he fully researched my whole matter on the computer and checked with all departments. He had also read what the Appeal Court judges had said on the 12 July 2002, there were no misconceptions, nor did he feel the wording on our subpoena was misleading in anyway. He added that they receive subpoenas daily and no matter what the stated nature of the proceedings are on the subpoena they carefully check first before they answer. He said some people, for various reasons, have ulterior motives. He went on to give me many examples.

He again said my matter was a public interest matter and he was aware the proceedings were to acquit or reduce culpability. He said this matter was not a criminal trial as such, therefore, s.84 or RvKremmer, did not apply. This point was unfortunately missed at this inquiry. He said he thought he made this very clear in par 4 and 5 of his letter as to reasons for giving us the documents.

He said he was aware that Victims Compensation had written to me in 1998, looking for restitution therefore, I was already in receipt of much of the material anyway. I explained that the DPP have alleged that we had misrepresented the subpoena. He said that was rubbish. He said no one had contacted him from the DPP or anywhere else in regard to this aspect of the matter. He said that he would be more than happy to speak with you on any aspect of this issue. I found him to be most helpful.

Tom, I am sure you are aware that this, in my opinion, has been a very strong point from day one and after speaking with John today, my opinion has been confirmed. It really shows the malice and bias of the DPP to this day. I want the court to be made aware of it. We have enough strong points to show that their submission is nothing other than lies and deception. They have, from day one, mislead the court and are still attempting to mislead the court and in doing so, covering up for criminals. Victims Com page 21-34 DPP sub.

I have included the following documents that I feel are very relevant to this issue:

1. Letter to Kevin Rodgers from Victims Comp re subpoena, 23 May 2003. Two pages.
2. Subpoena issued by Brock Partners to Victims Comp. May 2003. Two pages.
3. A Statutory Declaration I made to the Victims Comp Board 10 June 1998. Two pages.
4. Two letters to me from the Victims Comp. 12 May 1998-15 June 1998. Three pages.
5. A page from Inquiry transcript relevant to this issue. One page.

With Thanks

*Roseanne*