- Q. Did you go to the home of Peter Bridge on that day, 24 August? A. No, Z did not.
- Q. Did you cause a search to be made of his premises? A. Yes.
- Q. That was at 2 Cowan Road, Taree, is that right? A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you search his premises? A. A number of allegations had been made concerning car parts.
- Q. That was in relation to stolen car parts Sergeant, wasn't it? A. Yes.
- Q. Did you find any stolen car parts? A. I didn't go there.
- Q. Well as far as you're aware as a result of the search were any stolen car parts found? A. I understand --

## **OBJECTION QUESTION PRESSED**

BENCH: Q. Do you know whether any stolen car parts were found? A. I understand there wasn't.

FOLEY: Q. Well if they had been found they'd be the subject of a charge, wouldn't they?

OBJECTION RELEVANCE QUESTION DISALLOWED

- Q. Did you in searching for if you were making a search of number 1 Cornwall Street were you looking for stolen car parts at that place? A. No.
- Q. You weren't. Did you receive any reliable information that Mrs Catt or her son were in possession of stolen car parts? A. Yes.
- O. Who was that from?

## 15/05/1990 P-62 THOMAS XX

5.1124 D/1 VJD

BENCH: (Not transcribable).

FOLEY: It does become relevant - it will become relevant Your

Worship as to part of this cross-examination.

BENCH: Yes, on that undertaking.

WITNESS: Well I don't wish to - I don't wish to volunteer the name of my Informant.

FOLEY: Q. You have one Informant, is that what you're saying?

BENCH: In relation to the stolen car parts.

FOLEY: Q. In relation to the stolen car parts, yes? A. Well

I'll say it more correctly my Informants.

- Q. You have a number of Informants about the stolen car parts? A. Yes.
- Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct.
- Q. How many? A. Two.
- O. Are they people who are giving evidence in this matter? A. Yes.
- Q. Are they reliable people? A. I find them reliable people,

Yes.